## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

BRAD SAUNDERS,

Plaintiff, Case No.: 3:14-cv-97

vs.

COMMISSIONER
OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman (Consent Case)

,

Defendant.

## ORDER<sup>1</sup> APPROVING THE PARTIES' JOINT STIPULATION FOR EAJA FEES (DOC. 16) UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2412

This Social Security disability benefits appeal is before the Court on the parties' joint stipulation in which they agree that Plaintiff should be awarded attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), in the amount of \$4,076.50. Doc. 16. EAJA provides for an award of attorney's fees to a party who prevails in a civil action against the United States "when the position taken by the Government is not substantially justified and no special circumstances exist warranting a denial of fees." *Bryant v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec.*, 578 F.3d 443, 445 (6th Cir. 2009) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A)). A party who prevails and obtains a Sentence Four remand is a prevailing party for EAJA purposes. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 301-02 (1993). EAJA fees are payable to the litigant. *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 586 U.S. 586, 589 (2010).

The Court found the ALJ's non-disability finding unsupported by substantial evidence and remanded this case to the Commissioner under the Fourth Sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties unanimously consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Doc. 7.

Case: 3:14-cv-00097-MJN Doc #: 17 Filed: 12/03/15 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 890

for further proceedings. Doc. 14. Accordingly, Plaintiff is the prevailing party in this case for

EAJA purposes and, therefore, is entitled to an award of attorney's fees under EAJA. See

Shalala, 509 U.S. at 301-02. Having considered the nature of the work counsel performed in this

case, the Court finds the stipulated fee reasonable. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an EAJA

fee award in the stipulated amount of \$4,076.50.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, the Court: (1) APPROVES the parties' joint

stipulation for an EAJA fee award (doc. 16); and (2) GRANTS Plaintiff EAJA fees in the

amount of \$4,076.50. As no further matters remain pending for review, this case remains

**TERMINATED** upon the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: December 3, 2015

s/ Michael J. Newman

Michael J. Newman

United States Magistrate Judge

2